

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jmbbm

Research paper

The effect of different implant macrogeometries and surface treatment in early biomechanical fixation: An experimental study in dogs

Paulo G. Coelho^a, Rodrigo Granato^b, Charles Marin^b, Hellen S. Teixeira^a, Marcelo Suzuki^c, Guilherme B. Valverde^a, Malvin N. Janal^d, Tomas Lilin^e, Estevam A. Bonfante^{f,*}

^a Department of Biomaterials and Biomimetics, New York University, 345 E 24th Street, 10010, New York, NY, USA

^b Department of Dentistry, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Trindade 88040-900, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

^c Department of Prosthodontics, Tufts University School of Dental Medicine, 1 Kneeland Street, 02111, Boston, MA, USA

^d Department of Epidemiology and Health Promotion, New York University, 345 E 24th Street, 10010, New York, NY, USA

^e Department of Experimental Research, École Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, 7 L'avenue du Général de Gaulle, Maisons-Alfort, 94700, France

^f Postgraduate Program in Dentistry, UNIGRANRIO University, School of Health Sciences, Rua Prof. José de Souza Herdy, 1.160 - 25 de Agosto, Duque de Caxias, RJ, 25071-202, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 April 2011

Received in revised form

21 June 2011

Accepted 25 June 2011

Published online 3 July 2011

Keywords:

Osseointegration

Biomechanical

In vivo

Dental implant

Characterization

ABSTRACT

Implant surface characterization and biomechanical testing were made to evaluate the effect of different surface treatments along with different implant bulk configurations expressed as biomechanical fixation at early implantation times. Three implant surfaces, namely bioactive ceramic electrodeposition (ED), alumina-blasted/acid etched (AB/AE), and resorbable blasting media (RBM) were fabricated in three implant macrogeometries (cylindrical, small chamber, and large chamber). All combinations between surface and bulk configurations were placed in the radii of beagle dogs ($n = 18$), which were euthanized 14 and 40 days after surgery ($n = 9$ animals per time in vivo). The implants were subjected to torque to interface fracture. Effects of time, surface, and macrogeometry on torque to interface fracture were evaluated by a GLM at 95% level of significance. The results showed a significant increase in torque as time elapsed in vivo ($p < 0.001$), and that the ED surface presented significantly higher values compared to AB/AE and RBM ($p < 0.001$) at both times. The small chamber only presented a significantly higher biomechanical fixation compared to other geometries at 40 days in vivo ($p = 0.02$). Biomechanical fixation at 14 and 40 days was affected by implant surface treatment, whereas implant design only affected results at 40 days in vivo.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 14 3227 1889; fax: +55 14 3234 2566.

E-mail addresses: pgcoelho@nyu.edu (P.G. Coelho), granatobuco@gmail.com (R. Granato), marinbuco@gmail.com (C. Marin), hellensteixeira@gmail.com (H.S. Teixeira), suzuki.marcelo@gmail.com (M. Suzuki), guigavalverde@yahoo.com (G.B. Valverde), mj62@nyu.edu (M.N. Janal), thomas.lilin@gmail.com (T. Lilin), estevamab@gmail.com (E.A. Bonfante).