



## ECM spreading behaviour on micropatterned TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube surfaces

Andreas Pittrof<sup>a,1</sup>, Jung Park<sup>b,c,1</sup>, Sebastian Bauer<sup>a</sup>, Patrik Schmuki<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Materials Science, WW4-LKO, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Martensstrasse 7, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Department of Experimental Medicine I, Nikolaus Fiebiger-Center of Molecular Medicine, Glueckstrasse 6, 91054 Erlangen, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Division of Molecular Pediatrics, University Hospital Erlangen, Loschgestrasse 15, Germany

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 20 December 2011

Received in revised form 6 March 2012

Accepted 27 March 2012

Available online 30 March 2012

#### Keywords:

TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes

Mesenchymal stem cells

ECM

Micropatterning

Photolithography

### ABSTRACT

By electrochemical anodization, highly ordered nanotubular TiO<sub>2</sub> structures were formed on titanium surfaces with diameters of 15 and 100 nm. In previous work we showed that 15 nm tubes strongly enhanced adhesion and vitality of many cell types, whereas on 100 nm diameter tubes the induction of apoptosis was observed. In the present work we produce mixed (15 nm contrasted with 100 nm) nanotube microstructures that combine highly defined micro- and nanostructures using a photolithographic approach to achieve a direct comparison of adhesion and spreading of mesenchymal stem cells on different diameter nanotubes present on a single surface. On these coupled different nanoscale surfaces mesenchymal stem cell adhesion is initially favoured on 15 nm tube areas but, with time, a gradient in cell number and shape to the “unfavourable” regions of the substrate (100 nm tubes) can be observed. This can be explained by cells on the “favourable” 15 nm regions that strongly produce and shed extracellular matrix onto the “unfavourable” locations. These findings contribute to the design of cell guiding surfaces, but also demonstrate the need for a long-range defined homogeneous order when studying cell behaviour on nanostructured surfaces.

© 2012 Acta Materialia Inc. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

In the field of biomedical materials research, especially considering cell/biomaterial interactions, many studies concerning micrometre-scale topographies have been conducted in the last decades [1–9]. More recently, nanoscale topographies have also been considered as promising surface cues for triggering cellular responses, see e.g. Refs. [9–12]. Our own studies with mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) on highly ordered TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube layers with different tube diameters ranging from 15 to 100 nm revealed that defined nanotopographies in the sub-100 nm range strongly influence cell functions such as adhesion, proliferation, activity and survival [13–15]. These nanotube layers can be created on Ti surfaces employing a simple but optimized electrochemical anodization treatment, enabling the formation of regular nanotopographies of adjustable dimensions with a high lateral resolution [16–20]. Experiments with MSC on ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes and AuPd-coated TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes revealed that the geometrical effect of the nanotopography clearly dominates over surface chemistry effects [15]. The fact that other cell types, such as endothelial cells [21], osteoblasts/osteoclasts and hematopoietic stem cells [14], showed comparable responses to nanoscale geometries indicates that there seems to be

a universal spacing constant of ~15 nm which leads to maximum cell adhesion, spreading and activity in adherent cell types, while nanotubes with larger diameters (100 nm) seem to inhibit cell adhesion inducing apoptosis.

However, conflicting results have also been reported, indicating superior cell adhesion and proliferation on 100 nm [22] or 80 nm [23] nanotubes as compared to nanotubes with smaller diameter (down to 30 nm), or reference “flat” surfaces. Moreover, in further work the differentiation of MSC was reported to be highest on such bigger diameters compared to smaller nanotubes and control surfaces [24]. In the present work we try to resolve this conflict [13,14,25] using defined model surfaces with both large and small diameter nanotube features in a single sample. This provides a direct comparison of cell adhesion and spreading depending on nanotopographies, allowing simultaneous observation of the cell behaviour on the two nanoscale surfaces. This eliminates experimental side-effects such as different pre-treatment conditions, varying cell seeding densities, and the use of different anodization protocols.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Anodization and pattern formation

The formation of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube patterns was achieved using an adapted version of a three-step process reported previously, as

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 9131 8527575; fax: +49 9131 8527582.

E-mail address: [schmuki@ww.uni-erlangen.de](mailto:schmuki@ww.uni-erlangen.de) (P. Schmuki).

<sup>1</sup> Both authors contributed equally to this work.