



# Comparison between sequential and simultaneous application of activated carbon with membrane bioreactor for trace organic contaminant removal



Luong N. Nguyen<sup>a</sup>, Faisal I. Hai<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jinguo Kang<sup>a,b</sup>, Long D. Nghiem<sup>a</sup>, William E. Price<sup>b</sup>, Wenshan Guo<sup>c</sup>, Huu H. Ngo<sup>c</sup>, Kuo-Lun Tung<sup>d,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Strategic Water Infrastructure Laboratory, School of Civil, Mining and Environmental Engineering, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

<sup>b</sup>Strategic Water Infrastructure Laboratory, School of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

<sup>c</sup>Centre for Technology in Water and Wastewater, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, Broadway, NSW 2007, Australia

<sup>d</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Roosevelt Rd., Taipei 106, Taiwan

<sup>e</sup>R&D Center for Membrane Technology, Chung Yuan University, Chung-Li 320, Taiwan

## HIGHLIGHTS

- ▶ >95% removal of all trace organics was achieved by MBR–GAC and PAC–MBR initially.
- ▶ Gradual drop in removal of some hydrophilic compounds occurred in both systems.
- ▶ Known persistent compound carbamazepine showed high removal in both systems.
- ▶ Charged compounds fenoprop and diclofenac showed high persistence in both systems.
- ▶ PAC–MBR outperformed MBR–GAC in terms of adsorbent consumption.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 8 August 2012

Received in revised form 20 November 2012

Accepted 28 November 2012

Available online 8 December 2012

### Keywords:

Membrane bioreactor

Powdered activated carbon

Granular activated carbon

Trace organic contaminants

## ABSTRACT

The removal efficiency of 22 selected trace organic contaminants by sequential application of granular activated carbon (GAC) and simultaneous application of powdered activated carbon (PAC) with membrane bioreactor (MBR) was compared in this study. Both sequential application of GAC following MBR treatment (MBR–GAC) and simultaneous application of PAC within MBR (PAC–MBR) achieved improved removal (over 95%) of seven hydrophilic and biologically persistent compounds, which were less efficiently removed by MBR-only treatment (negligible to 70%). However, gradual breakthrough of these compounds occurred over an extended operation period. Charged compounds, particularly, fenoprop and diclofenac, demonstrated the fastest breakthrough (complete and 50–70%, in MBR–GAC and PAC–MBR, respectively). Based on a simple comparison from the long-term performance stability and activated carbon usage points of view, PAC–MBR appears to be a better option than MBR–GAC treatment.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In view of the potential adverse effects of trace organic contaminants on human health and that of other biota, numerous studies have been devoted for their removal from wastewater by membrane bioreactors (MBRs). The reported data have demonstrated better and/or more stable removal of trace organic contaminants of moderate to high biodegradability and/or significant hydrophobicity by MBRs as compared to conventional activated sludge (CAS) processes. However, significant variations in removal of hydrophilic and biologically persistent compounds by MBR have been

noted in several recent studies (Bernhard et al., 2006; Visvanathan et al., 2005). Besides biological processes, adsorption onto powdered activated carbon (PAC) or granular activated carbon (GAC) can also be used to efficiently remove trace organic contaminants from water (Ternes et al., 2002). However, limited adsorption of ionic compounds, particularly of those containing electron-withdrawing functional groups, has been reported (Ternes et al., 2002). In this connection, the concept of combined processes such as coupling of MBR with PAC/GAC has been explored (Li et al., 2011; Lipp et al., 2012; Nguyen et al., 2012). Activated carbon adsorption in conjunction with an MBR can be applied in two different configurations: (i) direct addition of PAC into MBR (PAC–MBR), and (ii) post-treatment of MBR permeate by passing it through a GAC column (MBR–GAC) or by dosing of PAC. It is

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 2 4221 3054.

E-mail address: [faisal@uow.edu.au](mailto:faisal@uow.edu.au) (F.I. Hai).