ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Engineering Failure Analysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/engfailanal



The Bellevue crane disaster

Brian McDonald a,*, Bernard Ross a, Robert A, Carnahan b

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Available online 23 September 2010

Keywords: Accident investigation Cranes Fatigue crack Finite element analysis Metallurgical failure analysis

ABSTRACT

This paper describes an investigation of the cause and origin of the November 16, 2006 collapse of an overhead tower crane at an office building construction site in Bellevue, WA. This accident, and several others during the same timeframe, triggered national concern regarding crane safety. The collapse was curious because the crane overturned with no load on the hook under negligible winds while the operator was in the process of shutting the crane down for the night.

This paper summarizes a comprehensive investigation of the failure, and focuses on the steel framework of the crane foundation, where a large fatigue crack initiated the collapse. The foundation was an unconventional grillage of steel beams attached to an existing concrete structure that supported the crane above post-tensioned concrete parking slabs. Analysis of the crane failure embraced three phases; namely, a Global Model to calculate generalized forces and displacements, a refined local model to calculate elasto-plastic stresses at the crack initiation site, and a fatigue/fracture mechanics analysis to investigate the criteria for crack progression. A suite of metallurgical procedures, namely chemical analysis, metallography, scanning electron microscopy, hardness testing, tensile testing, and Charpy V-Notch impact testing was performed on samples from failed foundation elements.

Results of these efforts confirmed origins of fatigue cracking at the cope web stiffeners in both transverse tower support beams. Allegations that faulty welding was the principal cause and origin of the critical crack(s) and resulting tower crane foundation failure were disproved. Instead, this crane accident occurred for a reason common to many construction problems - poor communication. The crane foundation designer had relied on a structural tie between the crane tower and the building core, but communication of the contractor's decision to delay the core construction, and thereby eliminate the tie, was somehow lost.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Tower 333 was built on the site of a previous aborted construction project. Plans to renew building of a 20-story office tower on the site necessitated that the crane be relocated. Rather than deploy a typical steel reinforced concrete crane base mat, the design and construction team decided to mount the crane on a structural steel framework in the parking garage above already completed parking levels. The licensed structural engineer for the building was retained to also design the crane base.

A welding shop prepared shop drawings and fabricated the tower crane structural base components according to design sketches prepared by the structural engineer. The primary structural elements of the crane base were two north/south

^a Exponent Failure Analysis Associates. 149 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, CA 94025, United States

^b Exponent Failure Analysis Associates, 5401 McConnell Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90066, United States

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 6506996946; fax: +1 6503283094.

E-mail addresses: mcdonald@exponent.com (B. McDonald), bross@exponent.com (B. Ross), rcarnahan@exponent.com (R.A. Carnahan).