



Distributions of respiratory contaminants from a patient with different postures and exhaling modes in a single-bed inpatient room

Yonggao Yin^a, Jitendra K. Gupta^c, Xiaosong Zhang^a, Junjie Liu^{b,*}, Qingyan Chen^{b,c}

^aSchool of Energy and Environment, Southeast University, Nanjing, China

^bSchool of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China

^cSchool of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 March 2010

Received in revised form

26 June 2010

Accepted 3 July 2010

Keywords:

Displacement ventilation

Mixing ventilation

Inpatient room

Cough

Breath

Posture

ABSTRACT

This study investigated contaminant transport and evaluated the ventilation performance in a single-bed inpatient room. The study performed comparative experimental analysis on the distributions of respiratory contaminants breathed out and coughed out by a patient in a full-scale chamber, which simulated a single-bed inpatient room. The contaminant exhaled by the patient was simulated by an SF₆ tracer gas and 3- μ m particles at steady-state conditions. The differences in the contaminant distribution between the coughing and breathing cases were insignificant for the mixing ventilation case, while for the displacement ventilation, the contaminant concentrations in the upper part of the room were higher for the coughing case. The contaminant concentrations in the inpatient room for the case with the patient sitting on the bed were lower than those for the patient supine on the bed for the displacement ventilation under the same supply airflow rate. The SF₆ tracer gas and 3- μ m particles released at a notable initial velocity for simulating a cough could give similar contaminant distributions in the inpatient room. Therefore, the experimental data can be used to validate a CFD model, and the validated CFD model can be used to investigate transient coughing and breathing processes.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Respiratory infectious diseases, such as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS), could be transferred from a patient to other people in the proximity by air [1]. Many infections may have been the result of poor ventilation and insufficient protection of healthcare workers [2]. The experience with SARS indicated a disease transmission in a short route between healthcare workers and patients. Therefore, it is important to understand air and contaminant transport in inpatient rooms and to improve the ventilation system design for the rooms.

Many studies have been conducted on airborne disease transmission and ventilation systems in hospitals. Li et al. [3] reviewed the literature in the last half century and concluded that there is an association between the infection caused by airborne disease transmission and the ventilation in buildings. However, there is insufficient data to specify and quantify the minimum ventilation requirements in hospitals. Tang et al. [4] pointed out many important factors involved in the aerosol transmission of infectious diseases, including aerosol generation from breathing, coughing,

and talking. They indicated that it should be possible to reduce the risk of aerosol transmission by altering the ventilation parameters in healthcare environments. This has been verified by our previous study [5] which showed that ventilation systems play a very important role in the contaminant distribution in an inpatient room. Qian et al. [6] indicated that the downward laminar airflow pattern recommended by the CDC was impossible to achieve due to turbulent flow mixing and thermal buoyancy. To prevent or minimize the spread of airborne infection, the physical characteristics of the indoor environment and the design and operation of building ventilation systems are critical [7]. Patients in hospital wards often generate airborne contaminants by different expiration modes, such as coughing, breathing, talking, and sneezing. Many experimental studies on coughing have showed that the average velocity of coughing varied from 1 to 10 m/s [8], while the average velocity of breathing is low so it can be treated as a stationary source. Thus, the contaminant is released at very different velocities in coughing and breathing. Transport of the contaminant exhaled by coughing should be different from that by breathing. Zhu et al. [9] used a CFD method to investigate the flow field and infection risk influenced by realistic coughing and breathing of occupants in a stagnant indoor environment. Their results showed that indoor ventilation conditions can greatly affect the chances of airborne infections by

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 22 2740 9500; fax: +86 22 8740 1561.

E-mail address: jjliu@tju.edu.cn (J. Liu).