



Ammonia synthesis over cobalt catalysts doped with cerium and barium. Effect of the ceria loading

Magdalena Karolewska^a, Elżbieta Truszkiewicz^a, Bogusław Mierzwa^b, Leszek Kępiński^c, Wioletta Raróg-Pilecka^{a,*}

^a Warsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Noakowskiego 3, 00-664 Warsaw, Poland

^b Institute of Physical Chemistry of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Kasprzaka 44/52, 01-224 Warsaw, Poland

^c Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Okólna 2, 50-950 Wrocław, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 May 2012

Received in revised form 6 August 2012

Accepted 25 August 2012

Available online 31 August 2012

Keywords:

Ammonia synthesis

Cobalt catalyst

Barium and cerium promoters

Ceria loading

ABSTRACT

The effect of ceria loading on the activity and thermal stability of a cobalt catalyst for ammonia synthesis has been studied. The concentration of CeO₂ in the Co₃O₄+CeO₂ mixtures ranged from 0 to 29 wt%, whereas the barium content was constant and equaled 13 wt% in relation to Co₃O₄. Kinetic measurements in NH₃ synthesis were carried out in a flow differential reactor operating under standard conditions ($p = 6.3$ MPa, $T = 400$ °C, H₂:N₂ = 3:1). The kinetic measurements of NH₃ synthesis were supplemented with the characterization studies of the obtained materials – N₂ physisorption, XRPD, H₂ chemisorption, TPR-TG/DTG-MS and SEM-EDS. It has been found that ceria plays the role of a structural promoter; it hinders the sintering of Co₃O₄ during calcination and stabilizes the surface area of Co under reaction conditions. Moreover, it seems that ceria stabilizes the hcp phase of metallic cobalt, which results in a higher activity of the cobalt catalysts. The co-promoted cobalt catalyst containing 11.5 wt% of CeO₂ (symbol Co/Ce(11.5)/Ba) was shown to be more active in NH₃ synthesis than the conventional fused iron catalyst (KM I, H. Topsoe). Moreover, the catalyst Co/Ce(11.5)/Ba is very resistant to overheating. Heat treatment at 600 °C for 160 h (3H₂:N₂ = 30.0 l/h, $p = 0.1$ MPa) results in a decrease of its activity in NH₃ synthesis by only a few percent.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ammonia synthesis is one of the most important and the largest, in terms of the production scale, catalytic processes in the chemical industry today. The global production of NH₃ was estimated to be 124 million tons in 2006 and continues to exhibit a steady increase [1]. Ammonia is used in the production of fertilizers, explosive materials, and as a refrigerant. Since the hydrogen used in fuel cells has to be pure, i.e. not contaminated with carbon oxides, ammonia is thought to become the best source of hydrogen suitable for this application in the near future [2–7]. The catalytic reaction of hydrogen and nitrogen, which leads to the formation of ammonia, is of significant importance in the development of catalysis. Two Nobel Prizes have been awarded for research on this topic [8]. Moreover, studies on ammonia synthesis have led to many significant improvements and innovations. The basic terms used in catalysis, such as promoter or poison, were first used with regard to ammonia synthesis. Furthermore, new catalytic concepts, e.g. structure

sensitivity of a reaction, have been developed during studies of ammonia synthesis catalysts [9].

For the above reasons, the researchers from industrial centres and academia are still active in the field of NH₃ synthesis and they try to work out a completely new catalyst [10–18] or to improve the formula and properties of the conventional iron one [19–25]. Among several new catalytic systems investigated in the last 40 years, only ruthenium supported on high surface area graphite (HSAG) has been implemented in the industrial practice so far. A combination of both the conventional iron (first catalytic bed) and the modern Ru/C catalyst (last three beds) in the so called Kellogg Advanced Ammonia Process (KAAP) has been shown to be very advantageous [26,27], i.e. the pressure in ammonia loops could be significantly reduced (to 9.0 MPa), thus resulting in a lower energy consumption.

However, the high price of ruthenium and its tendency to catalyze the depletion of the support, i.e. methanation [28–31], are the main reasons why the ruthenium catalyst did not revolutionize the process of NH₃ synthesis. Research on the development of an entirely new catalytic system with other metals which exhibit catalytic activity in this reaction [32] is still ongoing. Cobalt-based catalysts are among the most frequently investigated systems [15,33–35]. The latest research of Raróg-Pilecka et al. has shown

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +48 22 234 57 66; fax: +48 22628 27 41.
E-mail address: wioleta@ch.pw.edu.pl (W. Raróg-Pilecka).