



A classification of Ramanujan complements of unitary Cayley graphs

Reza Safakish*
 University of Buai sina

Shahram mehry
 University of Buai sina

Abstract

The unitary Cayley graph on n vertices, X_n , has vertex set \mathbb{Z}_n , and two vertices a and b are connected by an edge if and only if they differ by a multiplicative unit modulo n , i.e. $\gcd(ab, n) = 1$. A k -regular graph X is Ramanujan if and only if $\lambda(X) \leq 2\sqrt{k-1}$ where $\lambda(X)$ is the second largest absolute value of the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of X . We obtain a complete characterization of the cases in which the complements of unitary Cayley graph \bar{X}_n is a Ramanujan graph.

Keywords: Graph, Cayley Graph, Ramanujan Graph

1 Introduction

We define the Cayley graph $X = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ to be the graph whose vertex set is G , and in which two vertices v and u in G are connected by an edge if and only if vu^{-1} is in S .

The unitary Cayley graph on n vertices, X_n , is defined to be the undirected graph whose vertex set is \mathbb{Z}_n , and in which two vertices a and b are connected by an edge if and only if $\gcd(a-b, n) = 1$. This can also be stated as $X_n = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{U}_n)$, where \mathbb{Z}_n is the additive group of integers modulo n and $\mathbb{U}_n = \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ is the set of multiplicative units modulo n . X_n is a simple, $\varphi(n)$ -regular graph, where φ is the Euler totient function. Here $\varphi(n)$ is defined by $\varphi(1) = 1$, and for an integer $n > 1$ with distinct prime power factorization $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ for distinct primes p_1, \dots, p_k and nonnegative integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$, with $k > 0$, $\varphi(n) = p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k-1} (p_1-1)(p_2-1) \cdots (p_k-1)$. When discussing X_n , we always assume $n > 3$

Lemma 1.1. *The eigenvalues of any adjacency matrix of X_n are*

$$\lambda_m(n) = \mu \left(\frac{n}{(n, m)} \right) \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi\left(\frac{n}{(n, m)}\right)} \quad (1)$$

Proof. see [3, Klotz, W. and Sander, T. (2007)] □

When $\frac{n}{(n, m)}$ is square-free,

$$|\lambda_m(n)| = \frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi\left(\frac{n}{(n, m)}\right)} \quad (2)$$

*Speaker