



## Weakly spatial frames

Mostafa Abedi\*

Hakim Sabzevari University

Ali Akbar Estaji

Hakim Sabzevari University

Abolghasem Karimi Feizabadi

Gorgan Branch, Islamic Azad University

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to determine weakly spatial frames. The concept of weakly spatiality is actually weaker than spatiality and they are equivalent in the case of regular frames. For compact conjunctive frames, the notion of spatiality, weak spatiality and dual atomicity coincide.

**Keywords:** Frame, Weakly spatial frame, Regular frame, spatial frame, Ring of real-valued continuous functions on a frame

**Mathematics Subject Classification [2010]:** 06D22

## 1 Introduction

Throughout,  $L$  denotes a frame,  $\Sigma L$  denotes the set of prime elements of  $L$ , and  $\mathcal{R}L$  denotes the ring of real-valued continuous functions on  $L$ .

In the theory of frames (or “pointfree topology”), several authors have tried to find a suitable form of separation axioms. In [6],  $T_2$ -frames are described also authors investigate almost compact frames and  $H$ -closed extensions of  $T_2$ -frames. All unexplained facts concerning separation axioms can be found in [6] or in [7].

The concept of a weakly spatial frame is introduced and the main results of the note are given in Section 2. The weakly spatial frames play an important role in this note. For conjunctive frames, they are equivalent with spatial frames. There are many examples of frames which are weakly spatial but they are not spatial (Remark 2.3). Using the Axiom of Choice, compact frames are weakly spatial (Proposition 2.4).

Let  $L$  be a weakly spatial frame. In Proposition 2.8, it is proved that if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L$  and  $\Sigma_{\text{coz}(\alpha)} = \emptyset$ , then  $\text{coz}(\alpha) = \perp$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = \mathbf{0}$ . Also for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}L$ ,  $Z(\alpha) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\text{coz}(\alpha) = \top$ , i.e.,  $\alpha$  is a unit of  $\mathcal{R}L$  (Proposition 2.10). Finally, in the last proposition, it is shown that  $\Sigma L$  is a compact space if and only if  $L$  is a compact frame.

Here, we recall some definitions and results from the literature on frames and the pointfree version of the ring of continuous real valued functions. For more details see the appropriate references given in [1, 5, 7].

A *frame* is a complete lattice  $M$  in which the distributive law  $x \wedge \bigvee S = \bigvee \{x \wedge s : s \in S\}$  holds for all  $x \in L$  and  $S \subseteq M$ . We denote the top element and the bottom element of  $M$

---

\*Speaker